# **Transit Time Distributions of Evapotranspiration** Ingo Heidbüchel, Jie Yang, Andreas Musolff, Jan H. Fleckenstein

#### Motivation

- How do transit time distributions of ET look like?
- How do they change over time with hydrologic conditions?
- How do they change in space with catchment properties?
- How do they influence TTDs of flow?



Contact: ingo.heidbuechel@ufz.de

#### Input

- tracer application from time t=0 to t=1 h
- afterwards natural precipitation time series
- one year repeated 32 times
- normalized tracer breakthrough curve:
- TTD



### Results: Evapotranspiration

- Ionger TTs for scenarios with deeper roots
- shorter TTs for scenarios with shallower roots
- Iongest TTs and smallest variation for scenarios with small LAI
- shortest TTs and largest variation for scenarios with large LAI

### Results: Flow

1st Quartile

the stronger the evapotranspiration, the more it influences the TDs of flow

Transit Time (days)

Mean

Small

- increase of young water fraction
- Increase of irregularity





transit times of flow runoff coefficients



decrease with decreasing



- TTs become shortest if LAI is small (i.e. more soil evaporation)
- least influence if LAI is large
- Results: Total
- total TTDs initially more similar to ET TTDs
- Iater more similar to Flow TTDs



## Summary

- TTDs of ET:
- vary moderately with rooting depth and leaf area index
- are mostly faster than TTDs of flow
- shapes fit predominantly Gamma distributions with  $\alpha < 1$
- TTDs of Flow:
- ET shortens TTs of flow
- shapes fit predominantly Gamma distributions with  $\alpha > 1$
- Total TTDs:
- faster ET compensates for slower subsurface flow making total TTDs more similar to each other
- shapes fit almost exclusively Gamma distributions with  $\alpha \approx 1$

### HELMHOLTZ **CENTRE FOR ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH – UFZ**





